

#### **MEMORANDUM**

To: Mr. Zac Koceja, PLA

Supervising Engineer | Landscape Architect City of Mesa | Engineering Department

Date: 03/22/2022

Project: Southeast Mesa Library (SEML)

Job No.: CP0428 (CoM)

**Purpose of Memorandum: O**utline the projected vehicle parking demand for SEML operation & offer a proposed approach to the parking provision.

# Mesa Public Library and the New Southeast Mesa Library Branch

The Mesa Public Library (MPL) system is currently comprised of a Main Library and two branch libraries (Red Mountain & Dobson Ranch). The Sotheast Mesa Library (SEML) will serve the citizens of southeast Mesa as a third MPL branch location. The SEML branch will be approximately 30,000 gross square feet (gsf), placing it between the Dobson Ranch (15,152 gsf) and Red Mountain (53,277 gsf) branches in size.

SEML will be located at 5036 South Eastmark Parkway on a 2.0 acre parcel. It is bounded by South Eastmark Parkway to the east and is adjacent to several existing privately owned & City of Mesa facilities including: BASIS Mesa School (north), the Eastmark Great Park (west & south), Eastmark Great Park Basketball Courts & 5100 S Eastmark Parkway Parking (south).





**Approach:** Our approach to the data gathering, analysis, and the projection of library visits and associated parking needs is as follows -

### 1. Gather Demographic & MPL System Data

Projections of future anticipated library visits utilize 2019 data to reflect the anticipated return to prepandemic levels of in-person library engagement.

# 2. Red Mountain Library Benchmarking

Red Mountain is the most utilized and largest MPL branch library. 2022 data from Red Mountain Library was collected to supplement the 2019 statistics and build a mathematical model to be used for comparison and projection to SEML.

## 3. **SEML Projections**

Year 2030 projections are created for SEML.

## 4. Existing Parking Conditions

The existing parking conditions surrounding the SEML site are analyzed.

#### 5. Recommendations

Recommendations for SEML parking provisions based upon the analysis, projections, and existing conditions.

# 1. <u>DEMOGRAPHIC & MPL SYSTEM DATA</u>

2019 data reported by Mesa Public Library (MPL) and published by the Arizona State Library Archives & Public Records (through the Arizona Secretary of State) is summarized below. The four-mile service radius population for Red Mountain Library was established via the demographic data prepared for MPL by ESRI Demographics and provided to our team for analysis.

Table 1a: Mesa Public Library: 2019 Population Demographics & Annual Visits

| 2019                       | Population Regular |         | Annual    | Visits per | Public |
|----------------------------|--------------------|---------|-----------|------------|--------|
| Mesa Public Library Data   | Served             | Users   | Visits    | Capita     | Hours  |
| Mesa Public Library System | 497,439            | 117,974 | 1,131,120 | 2.27       | 12,088 |
| Red Mountain Library       | 175,188*           | 41,548  | 355,854   | 2.03       | 3,022  |

MPL staff provided electronic records of 2019 library visits to the design team. Average total daily visits by day of week and month of year were calculated (see Table 1b).

Table 1b: Red Mountain Library: 2019 Average Total Daily Visits

|     | JAN   | FEB   | MAR   | APR   | MAY   | JUN   | JUL   | AUG   | SEP   | ОСТ   | NOV   | DEC   |
|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| MON | 1,379 | 1,472 | 1,396 | 1,245 | 1,160 | 1,531 | 1,366 | 1,238 | 1,195 | 1,190 | 1,199 | 1,206 |
| TUE | 1,394 | 1,619 | 1,405 | 1,299 | 1,280 | 1,466 | 1,366 | 1,216 | 1,213 | 1,203 | 1,220 | 1,116 |
| WED | 1,364 | 1,503 | 1,441 | 1,342 | 1,196 | 1,376 | 1,307 | 1,275 | 1,354 | 1,220 | 1,238 | 1,140 |
| THU | 1,288 | 1,258 | 1,271 | 1,162 | 1,051 | 1,454 | 1,280 | 1,083 | 1,144 | 1,035 | 1,118 | 1,038 |
| FRI | 1,027 | 1,118 | 1,076 | 928   | 892   | 1,061 | 1,052 | 922   | 914   | 902   | 907   | 870   |
| SAT | 1,162 | 1,279 | 1,107 | 962   | 947   | 1,111 | 987   | 1,047 | 1,068 | 978   | 1,036 | 969   |



## 2. RED MOUNTAIN LIBRARY BENCHMARKING

MPL recently began tracking hourly visit data. Electronic records from 2022 were provided to the design team. The average % of total daily visitors per service hour was calculated (see Table 2a).

Table 2a: Red Mountain Library 2022 Average Hourly Visit Distribution

| TIME | MON   | TUE   | WED   | THU   | FRI   | SAT   |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 1000 | 15.1% | 13.7% | 12.3% | 13.5% | 17.0% | 17.2% |
| 1100 | 11.4% | 12.1% | 10.3% | 11.9% | 13.9% | 16.6% |
| 1200 | 10.5% | 9.2%  | 10.4% | 10.1% | 11.8% | 16.6% |
| 1300 | 10.1% | 9.3%  | 13.0% | 10.0% | 12.7% | 15.0% |
| 1400 | 12.1% | 10.7% | 14.4% | 10.5% | 15.1% | 12.8% |
| 1500 | 13.7% | 13.7% | 12.4% | 13.5% | 16.2% | 12.7% |
| 1600 | 12.4% | 10.7% | 11.7% | 13.1% | 11.5% | 8.6%  |
| 1700 | 7.1%  | 7.4%  | 7.7%  | 9.0%  | 1.7%  | 0.6%  |
| 1800 | 4.9%  | 5.9%  | 5.2%  | 4.9%  |       |       |
| 1900 | 2.5%  | 6.8%  | 1.9%  | 2.8%  |       |       |
| 2000 | 0.4%  | 0.4%  | 0.6%  | 0.7%  |       |       |

MPL staff performed visitor vehicle counts at Red Mountain Library between 2/22/2022 – 2/28/2022. Vehicles in the library parking lot were counted on Monday – Thursday from 10-11am, 3-4pm, & 5-6pm. On Friday & Saturday counts were taken between 10-11am, 2-3pm, & 4-5pm. Daily subtotals for the hours observed and the average number of vehicles per visitor is shown in Table 2b below.

Table 2b: Red Mountain Library 2022 Hourly Visitor & Vehicle Counts

| TIME     | <b>MON</b><br>(2/28) |          | <b>TUE</b><br>(2/22) |          | <b>WED</b> (2/23) |          | THU<br>(2/24) |          | <b>FRI</b> (2/25) |          | <b>SAT</b> (2/26) |          |
|----------|----------------------|----------|----------------------|----------|-------------------|----------|---------------|----------|-------------------|----------|-------------------|----------|
|          | VISITS               | VEHICLES | VISITS               | VEHICLES | VISITS            | VEHICLES | VISITS        | VEHICLES | VISITS            | VEHICLES | VISITS            | VEHICLES |
| 1000     | 90                   | 36       | 107                  | 83       | 104               | 67       | 57            | 57       | 99                | 52       | 20                | 24       |
| 1400     |                      |          |                      |          |                   |          |               |          | 83                | 41       | 120               | 72       |
| 1500     | 64                   | 32       | 80                   | 44       | 73                | 42       | 88            | 50       |                   |          |                   |          |
| 1600     |                      |          |                      |          |                   |          |               |          | 67                | 39       | 67                | 28       |
| 1700     | 93                   | 35       | 93                   | 41       | 91                | 37       | 84            | 29       |                   |          |                   |          |
| SUBTOTAL | 247                  | 103      | 280                  | 168      | 268               | 146      | 229           | 136      | 249               | 132      | 207               | 124      |
| AVG      | (                    | 0.42     | (                    | 0.60     | (                 | 0.54     | (             | 0.59     | (                 | 0.53     | (                 | 0.60     |

Based on the data from Table 2b, we will utilize a value of <u>0.57 vehicles per library visit</u> for the purposes of projecting number of vehicles per visitor at SEML.



#### 3. SOUTHEAST MESA LIBRARY PROJECTIONS

Demographic data prepared for MPL by ESRI Demographics was provided to our team for analysis. The four-mile service radius population for SEML was established from the ESRI 2026 and 2030 populations projections.

Table 3a: Southeast Mesa Library (SEML): Projected Population Demographics & Annual Visits

| SEML Projections | Population<br>Served | Regular<br>Users | Annual<br>Visits | Visits per<br>Capita | r Public<br>Hours |  |
|------------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--|
| 2026 Annual      | 74,522*              | 17,437           | 167,181          | 2.27                 | 2,768             |  |
| 2030 Annual      | 89,525*              | 21,232           | 241,981          | 2.70**               | 2,768             |  |

<sup>\*4</sup> mile service radius

We conservatively project that the average number of visits per capita at SEML in 2030 will be higher than the current MPL average (see Table 1a for 2019 data). This projected increase is due to a younger demographic in the SEML service area that includes a large percentage of young families (based on ESRI demographic projections) and anticipated high demand for library services in this part of southeast Mesa that is currently underserved by MPL.

The <u>projected 2030 SEML annual visits represents approximately 68% of the 2019 Red Mountain annual visits</u> (see Table 1a). SEML 2030 Average Total Daily Visits (Table 3b below) was created by multiplying the 2019 Red Mountain values (Table 1b) by this value of 0.68.

Table 3b: SEML 2030 Average Total Daily Visit Projections

|     | JAN | FEB   | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN   | JUL | AUG | SEP | ОСТ | NOV | DEC |
|-----|-----|-------|-----|-----|-----|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| MON | 937 | 1,000 | 948 | 846 | 788 | 1,040 | 928 | 841 | 812 | 809 | 815 | 819 |
| TUE | 947 | 1,100 | 954 | 883 | 870 | 996   | 928 | 826 | 824 | 817 | 829 | 758 |
| WED | 927 | 1,021 | 979 | 912 | 813 | 935   | 888 | 866 | 920 | 829 | 841 | 775 |
| THU | 875 | 855   | 864 | 789 | 714 | 988   | 870 | 736 | 778 | 703 | 760 | 705 |
| FRI | 698 | 760   | 731 | 631 | 606 | 721   | 715 | 627 | 621 | 613 | 616 | 591 |
| SAT | 790 | 869   | 752 | 654 | 644 | 755   | 670 | 711 | 725 | 665 | 704 | 658 |

A daily average total & standard deviation from this average mean was calculated for each day of the week.

Table 3c: SEML 2030 Projected Daily Visits – Mean & Standard Deviation

|     | Average | Standard  | Mean + Standard        |
|-----|---------|-----------|------------------------|
|     | Mean    | Deviation | <b>Deviation Total</b> |
| MON | 882     | 84.7      | 967                    |
| TUE | 894     | 95.0      | 989                    |
| WED | 892     | 71.2      | 963                    |
| THU | 803     | 87.8      | 891                    |
| FRI | 661     | 59.1      | 720                    |
| SAT | 716     | 66.8      | 783                    |



The SEML Mean + Standard Deviation Daily Visit total shown in Table 3c represents the 83rd percentile of the projected scenarios in 2030 (i.e. 83% of daily visit totals will be at or below the Mean + Standard Deviation value).

These 2030 projected daily total visit values were distributed within the SEML service hours per the hourly visit benchmarking percentages calculated from 2019 (as shown in Table 2a) to create an anticipated hourly visitor count. The ratio of 0.57 vehicles per visitor (per Table 2c) was used to provide a corresponding anticipated average vehicle count per hour (See Table 3d below).

<u>Table 3d: SEML 2030 Hourly Visit & Vehicle Projections</u>

Daily Total (Mean + Standard Deviation) distributed per 2022 Red Mountain Hourly Data

| TIME | N      | /ION     | TUE    |          | ٧      | WED      |        | THU      |        | FRI      | SAT    |          |
|------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|
|      | VISITS | VEHICLES |
| 1000 | 146    | 84       | 136    | 78       | 119    | 68       | 120    | 69       | 122    | 70       | 135    | 77       |
| 1100 | 110    | 63       | 120    | 68       | 99     | 57       | 106    | 61       | 100    | 57       | 130    | 74       |
| 1200 | 102    | 58       | 91     | 52       | 100    | 57       | 90     | 51       | 85     | 49       | 130    | 74       |
| 1300 | 98     | 56       | 92     | 53       | 125    | 72       | 89     | 51       | 91     | 52       | 117    | 67       |
| 1400 | 117    | 67       | 106    | 61       | 139    | 79       | 94     | 54       | 109    | 62       | 100    | 57       |
| 1500 | 132    | 76       | 136    | 78       | 119    | 68       | 120    | 69       | 117    | 67       | 99     | 57       |
| 1600 | 120    | 69       | 106    | 61       | 113    | 64       | 117    | 67       | 83     | 47       | 67     | 39       |
| 1700 | 69     | 39       | 73     | 42       | 74     | 42       | 80     | 46       | 12     | 7        | 5      | 3        |
| 1800 | 47     | 27       | 58     | 33       | 50     | 29       | 44     | 25       |        |          |        |          |
| 1900 | 24     | 14       | 67     | 38       | 18     | 10       | 25     | 14       |        |          |        |          |
| 2000 | 4      | 2        | 4      | 2        | 6      | 3        | 6      | 4        |        |          |        |          |

An hourly average vehicle total & standard deviation from this average mean was calculated for each day of the week.

Table 3e: SEML 2030 Projected Hourly Vehicles – Mean & Standard Deviation

|     | Average | Standard  | Mean + Standard        |  |  |
|-----|---------|-----------|------------------------|--|--|
|     | Mean    | Deviation | <b>Deviation Total</b> |  |  |
| MON | 55      | 22        | 77                     |  |  |
| TUE | 56      | 16        | 72                     |  |  |
| WED | 55      | 21        | 76                     |  |  |
| THU | 51      | 18        | 69                     |  |  |
| FRI | 51      | 9         | 60                     |  |  |
| SAT | 64      | 14        | 78                     |  |  |

The SEML Mean + Standard Deviation hourly vehicle total shown in Table 3e represents the 83rd percentile of the projected scenarios in 2030 (i.e. 83% of hourly vehicle totals will be at or below the Mean + Standard Deviation value).



# 4. EXISTING PARKING CONDITIONS



| Total Existing Adjacent Parking     | 206 spaces                              |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| Re-purposed half-courts (potential) | 40                                      |
| Existing Surface Lot                | 81                                      |
| Existing City of Mesa Parking Lot   | 121 spaces                              |
| South Eastmark Parkway              | 35                                      |
| Inspirian Parkway                   | 50                                      |
| Existing Street Parking             | 85 spaces (< 1,000 ft from SEML parcel) |



## 5. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

Through discussions with City of Mesa and Mesa Public Library staff, and in our professional opinion as experienced library designers, it is highly desirable to design the new SEML as a single-story building. This reduces initial construction and operation costs & greatly improves library staffing and services. Through design workshops with CoM and MPL staff we have developed a preliminary test fit showing a conceptual site and building planning for a 30,000 gsf SEML design (see below).

Per previous conversations with City of Mesa Parks, Recreation and Community Facilities Department (PRCF) staff member Brandon Erno, we understand that the existing surface lot parking south of the SEML site is not dedicated to specific park amenities and can be considered for a shared parking use with the new SEML.



Based upon this preliminary test fit and the previous analysis we recommend the following:

A surface parking lot providing 12-16 dedicated parking spaces for MPL staff be located at the northern portion of the site.

A surface parking lot providing 30-40 visitor parking spaces be located at the southeast corner of the site.

The existing 40 surface parking spaces at the northeast corner of the City owned parking lot be dedicated for SEML parking use, with the remaining existing spaces utilized in a shared parking agreement by the Eastmark Great Park and SEML.



It would be highly desirable for the new SEML project to re-purpose/re-stripe the existing half-courts to provide the shared parking functions more directly adjacent to the library site. However, we understand that it is not currently desired by PRCF to re-purpose these half-courts.

We are requesting a follow-up meeting with the City of Mesa to review these recommendations and clarify the City's parking goals & requirements moving forward. Please feel free to reach out via e-mail or text to schedule a time to review together.

Best Regards,

Jeremy TS Kotter, AIA

Principal

Richard Kennedy Architects

3.22.2022