# mesa az

# **Planning and Zoning Board**

# Meeting Minutes

Held in the City of Mesa Council Chambers – Upper Level Date: <u>January 8, 2020</u> Time: <u>4:00 p.m.</u>

#### **MEMBERS PRESENT:**

Chair Michelle Dahlke
Vice Chair Dane Astle
Jessica Sarkissian
Tim Boyle
Shelly Allen
Jeffrey Crockett
Deanna Villanueva-Saucedo

#### **STAFF PRESENT:**

Nana Appiah Tom Ellsworth Lesley Davis Evan Balmer Rebecca Gorton

#### **MEMBERS ABSENT:**

None

#### **OTHERS PRESENT:**

George Schafer
Bryan Smith
Kay Carl
Sandy Fan
John Sell
Jeanne Sell
David Bender
Nadia Ahluwalia
Eileen Lukes
Citizens who did not sign in

#### Call Meeting to Order.

Chair Dahlke declared a quorum present and the meeting was called to order at 4:00 pm.

1. Take action on all consent agenda items.

#### Items on the Consent Agenda

- 2. <u>Approval of minutes:</u> Consider the minutes from the December 11, 2019 study session and regular hearing.
- \*2-a Boardmember Allen motioned to approve the minutes from the December 11, 2019 study session and regular hearing. The motion was seconded by Vice Chair Astle.

Vote: 7-0 Approved

Upon tabulation of vote, it showed:

AYES - Dahlke, Astle, Sarkissian, Boyle, Allen, Crockett and Villanueva-Saucedo

NAYS - None

Zoning Cases: ZON19-00711, ZON19-00792, ZON19-00848, ZON19-00706, ZON19-00710, ZON19-00805 and ZON19-00834; Preliminary Plats: "Desert Place at Morrison Ranch" and "Destination at Gateway Parcel 15"

\* \* \* \* \*

\*3-a ZON19-00711 District 4. 1956 East Southern Avenue. Located at the northwest corner of Southern Avenue and Gilbert Road. (1.2± acres). Site Plan Review; and Special Use Permit. This request will allow for the development of a convenience store and associated fuel station. Jon Naut, Quik Trip Corporations, applicant; Redlands, LLC, owner.

Planner: Wahid Alam

**Staff Recommendation:** Approval with conditions

**Summary:** This case was on the consent agenda and therefore was not discussed as a separate individual item.

Boardmember Allen motioned to approve case ZON19-00711 with conditions of approval. The motion was seconded by Vice Chair Astle.

#### That: The Board recommends the approval of case ZON19-00711 conditioned upon:

- 1. Compliance with the final site plan submitted.
- Prior to application for a building permit, apply for and receive approval for an Administrative Use Permit to allow the number of parking spaces to exceed 125% of the maximum required parking spaces or reduce the parking to comply with the Zoning Ordinance.
- 3. Compliance with the plan of operation and good neighbor policy submitted.
- 4. Compliance with all City development codes and regulations.
- 5. Compliance with all requirements of Design Review.

Vote: 7-0 Approved with conditions Upon tabulation of vote, it showed:

AYES - Dahlke, Astle, Sarkissian, Boyle, Allen, Crockett and Villanueva-Saucedo

NAYS - None

\* \* \* \* 1

\*3-b ZON19-00792 District 6. Within the 1300 block of South Signal Butte Road (west side) and the 10700 block of East Hampton Avenue (north side). Located south of Southern Avenue on the west side of Signal Butte Road. (1.2± acres). Site Plan Review. This request will allow for the development of a restaurant with drive-thru. Andrew Whisler, Kimley-Horn, applicant; MVM Shopping Center LLC, owner.

Planner: Ryan McCann

Staff Recommendation: Approval with conditions

**Summary:** This case was on the consent agenda and therefore was not discussed as a separate individual item.

Boardmember Allen motioned to approve case ZON19-00792 with conditions of approval. The motion was seconded by Vice Chair Astle.

#### That: The Board recommends the approval of case ZON19-00792 conditioned upon:

- 1. Compliance with the final site plan submitted.
- 2. Compliance with all requirements of Design Review
- 3. Compliance with all requirements of Ordinance #4689 (Z07-022).

Vote: 7-0 Approved with conditions Upon tabulation of vote, it showed:

AYES - Dahlke, Astle, Sarkissian, Boyle, Allen, Crockett and Villanueva-Saucedo

NAYS - None

\*3-c ZON19-00848 District 6. Within the 9300 through 9500 blocks East Cadence Parkway (north and east side). Located east of Ellsworth Road and south of Ray Road. (17± acres). Site Plan Review. This request will allow a multi-residential development within the Cadence at Gateway Community. Susan Demmitt, Gammage and Burnham, PLC, applicant; PPGN-Ellsworth, LLLP, owner.

**Planner: Lesley Davis** 

Staff Recommendation: Continue to January 22, 2020

**Summary:** This case was on the consent agenda and therefore was not discussed as a separate individual item.

Boardmember Allen motioned to continue case ZON19-00848 to January 22, 2020. The motion was seconded by Vice Chair Astle.

Vote: 7-0 Approved with conditions
Upon tabulation of vote, it showed:
AYES – Dahlke, Astle, Sarkissian, Boyle, Allen, Crockett and Villanueva-Saucedo
NAYS – None

\* \* \* \* \*

\*4-a ZON19-00706 District 5. Within the 2400 block of North Val Vista Drive (east side). Located south of McDowell Road on the east side of Val Vista Drive. (4.6± acres). Rezoning from RS-35 to RS-35 PAD; and Site Plan Review. This request will allow for the development of a single residence subdivision. Joe Burke, Allen Consulting Engineers, applicant; NuSash Investments, owner. (Continued from December 11, 2019)

Planner: Kellie Rorex

Staff Recommendation: Tabled

**Summary:** This case was on the consent agenda and therefore was not discussed as a separate individual item.

Boardmember Allen motioned to table case ZON19-00706. The motion was seconded by Vice Chair Astle.

Vote: 7-0 Tabled

Upon tabulation of vote, it showed:

AYES - Dahlke, Astle, Sarkissian, Boyle, Allen, Crockett and Villanueva-Saucedo

NAYS - None

\* \* \* \* \*

\*4-b ZON19-00710 District 6. Within the 7000 to 7600 blocks of East Guadalupe Road (south side) and within the 2800 through 3100 blocks of South Sossaman Road (west side). Located south of Guadalupe Road and west of Sossaman Road. Rezone from NC-PAD to RS-6-PAD (6.7± acres); and modification of an existing PAD (213.7± acres). This request will allow for the development of a single-residence subdivision. Sean B. Lake, Pew & Lake, PLC, applicant; B & K Land & Investment Co., owner. (Companion case to preliminary plat "Desert Place at Morrison Ranch", associated with item \*5-a). (Continued from December 11, 2019)

<u>Planner:</u> Evan Balmer

Staff Recommendation: Continue to January 22, 2020

**Summary:** This case was on the consent agenda and therefore was not discussed as a separate individual item.

Boardmember Allen motioned to continue case ZON19-00710 to the January 22, 2010 meeting. The motion was seconded by Vice Chair Astle.

Vote: 7-0 Continue to January 22, 2020 Upon tabulation of vote, it showed:

AYES - Dahlke, Astle, Sarkissian, Boyle, Allen, Crockett and Villanueva-Saucedo

NAYS - None

\* \* \* \* \*

\*4-c ZON19-00805 District 6. Within the 10600 to 10800 blocks of East Williams Field Road (south side), within the 6000 to 6200 blocks of South Signal Butte Road (west side), within the 6200 to 6400 blocks of South Signal Butte Road (east side) and within the 10800 to 11100 blocks of the East Underwood Avenue alignment (south side). Located on the southwest corner of Williams Field Road and Signal Butte Road and south of Williams Field Road on the east side of Signal Butte Road. (33± acres). Rezone to modify portions of an existing PAD from RS-9-PAD, RSL-2.5-PAD, and RM-2-PAD to LC-PAD, RM-3-PAD, and RSL-4.5-PAD; and Site Plan Review. This request will allow for modifications to a master planned community. Sean Lake, Pew & Lake, PLC, applicant; Signal Butte 24, LLC, owner. (Companion case to preliminary plat "Destination at Gateway Parcel 15", associated with item \*5-b)

<u>Planner:</u> Cassidy Welch Staff Recommendation:

**Summary:** This case was on the consent agenda and therefore was not discussed as a separate individual item.

Boardmember Allen motioned to table case ZON19-00805 as requested by the applicant. The motion was seconded by Vice Chair Astle.

Vote: 7-0 Tabled

Upon tabulation of vote, it showed:

AYES - Dahlke, Astle, Sarkissian, Boyle, Allen, Crockett and Villanueva-Saucedo

NAYS - None

\* \* \* \* \*

\*4-d ZON19-00834 District 4. 1102 East University Drive. (0.46± acres). Located west of Stapley Drive on the north side of University Drive. Rezone from RM-4 to NC; and Site Plan review. This request will allow for the expansion of existing personal services (hair salons) within an existing building. Nicole Posten-Thompson, On-Pointe Architecture, applicant; 1102 E University LLC, owner.

<u>Planner:</u> Ryan McCann Staff Recommendation: Approval with conditions

**Summary:** This case was on the consent agenda and therefore was not discussed as a separate individual item.

Boardmember Allen motioned to approve case ZON19-00834 with conditions of approval. The motion was seconded by Vice Chair Astle.

#### That: The Board recommends the approval of case ZON19-00834 conditioned upon:

- 1. Compliance with the final site plan submitted.
- 2. Compliance with all City development codes and regulations.
- 3. Prior to application for a building permit, receive approval through the Board of Adjustment for a Substantial Conformance Improvement Permit to address existing non-conformities on the site, or bring all non-conformities on the site into compliance with the Zoning Ordinance.
- Dedicate the right-of-way and easements required under the Mesa City Code at the time of application for a building permit or at the time of the City's request for dedication whichever comes first.

Vote: 7-0 Approved with conditions Upon tabulation of vote, it showed:

AYES - Dahlke, Astle, Sarkissian, Boyle, Allen, Crockett and Villanueva-Saucedo

NAYS - None

\* \* \* \* \*

\*5-a "Desert Place at Morrison Ranch" District 6. Within the 7000 to 7600 blocks of East Guadalupe Road (south side) and within the 2800 through 3100 blocks of South Sossaman Road (west side). Located south of Guadalupe Road and west of Sossaman Road. Preliminary Plat. (6.7± acres). Sean B. Lake, Pew & Lake, PLC, applicant; B & K Land & Investment Co., owner. (Companion case to ZON19-00710, associated with item \*4-b). (Continued from December 11, 2019)

Planner: Evan Balmer

Staff Recommendation: Continue to January 22, 2020

**Summary:** This case was on the consent agenda and therefore was not discussed as a separate individual item.

Boardmember Allen motioned to continue preliminary plat "Desert Place at Morrison Ranch" associated with case ZON19-00710 to the January 22, 2020 meeting. The motion was seconded by Vice Chair Astle.

Vote: 7-0 Continue to January 22, 2020 Upon tabulation of vote, it showed: AYES – Dahlke, Astle, Sarkissian, Boyle, Allen, Crockett and Villanueva-Saucedo

NAYS - None

\* \* \* \*

\*5-b "Destination at Gateway Parcel 15" District 6. Within the 10600 to 10800 blocks of East Williams Field Road (south side), within the 6000 to 6200 blocks of South Signal Butte Road (west side), within the 6200 to 6400 blocks of South Signal Butte Road (east side) and within the 10800 to 11100 blocks of the East Underwood Avenue alignment (south side). Located on the southwest corner of Williams Field Road and Signal Butte Road and south of Williams Field Road on the east side of Signal Butte Road. (33± acres). Preliminary Plat. Sean Lake, Pew & Lake, PLC, applicant; Signal Butte 24, LLC, owner. (Companion case to ZON19-00805, associated with item \*4-c)

Planner: Cassidy Welch

**Staff Recommendation:** Approval with conditions

**Summary:** This case was on the consent agenda and therefore was not discussed as a separate individual item.

Boardmember Allen motioned to table preliminary plat "Destination at Gateway Parcel 15" with associated case ZON19-00805. The motion was seconded by Vice Chair Astle.

Vote: 7-0 Tabled

Upon tabulation of vote, it showed:

AYES - Dahlke, Astle, Sarkissian, Boyle, Allen, Crockett and Villanueva-Saucedo

NAYS - None

\* \* \* \* \*

**ZON19-00954 District 5.** Within the 5600 to 6000 blocks of East Thomas Road (north side) and within the 3600 to 4000 blocks of North Recker Road (west side). Located north of Thomas Road and west of Recker Road. (109.8± acres). Minor General Plan amendment to change the Character Type from Parks to Neighborhood. This request will allow for the development of a single-residence subdivision. Desert Vista 100, LLC, applicant; City of Mesa, owner. (Companion case to ZON19-00806 and Preliminary Plat "Reserve at Red Rock", associated with items 6-b and 6-c).

Planner: Evan Balmer

**Staff Recommendation: Approval** 

**Summary:** Staffmember Evan Balmer presented case ZON19-00954, ZON19-00806 and preliminary plat "**Reserve at Red Rock**" together. Mr. Balmer explained the request includes a General Plan amendment, rezoning and preliminary plat.

The request for the General Plan amendment changes the General Plan character designation from Parks to Neighborhood Character Type. Of the total acreage of 136± shown on the map, approximately 109± acres, which is the subject of the request, is being requested to change to the Neighborhood Character Type. The 109 acres will remain as Parks and Open Space character designation. The idea behind keeping the 109 acres is for it to serve and provide open space to the public. It also serves as a buffer between existing development on the northern side of the property and the subject request.

The request includes a PAD (Planned Area Development) with the rezoning. Some of the PAD deviations being requested include modification to required minimum lot size; lot width and increased depth of the lots within the development; setbacks to garages; and, minimum street setback with specific requirements. Per the City's Zoning Ordinance, the purpose of a PAD is to create a distinct, high quality development and this project includes such high-quality development standards such as preserving natural character of land, building and site design and private streets. Although not in the Desert Uplands area, the site plan has incorporated a lot of those concepts into the development by clustering lots arounds washes, keeping trails, lots of open space and adding major amenities in the development.

The applicant also completed a robust citizen participation plan with the first meeting attended by 31 residents. The second meeting included residents of Red Mountain with 126 residents attending. The questions and concerns discussed at the meeting include increased traffic, access points to the site, and use of the Red Mountain Ranch park. Mr. Balmer stated the developer proposed the ability to utilize the red mountain park, but such uses would be a private agreement between the developer and the HOA of Red Mountain Ranch. He also informed the Board that the proposed development will include amenities for the residents if the agreement is not reached to use the Red Mountain amenities.

Sean Lake, 1744 S. Val Vista, spoke on behalf of the owner Blandford Homes. Mr. Lake stated the developer spent a great deal of time fitting the project within the contours of the property and capitalized the view of Red Mountain. He explained the developer had completed an extensive neighborhood outreach and involvement to present the project. There were meetings with the surrounding residents of the entire Red Mountain Ranch,

surrounding property owners and businesses. Mr. Lake stated the applicant also attended and presented the project to the Red Mountain HOA and answered questions from the association members.

Mr. Lake stated as the developer designed the project, they looked at lot sizes in the Red Mountain Ranch development, and brought the smallest lots located on the southwest corner of the property and transitioned to larger lots within the middle of the property. He explained in consideration of preserving open space, the applicant kept approximately 67 acres of the site as part of that open space. He also stated that Blandford Homes has purchased the property but will be deeding back to the City of Mesa a portion of the property on the north side so that the City will own and have a public park assessible to all citizens of Mesa to enjoy. And there will be amenities within the development that will include a club house and pool area as well as trails in and around the development. In addition, there will be two separate entrances, one off Recker Road and the other from Thomas Road. The entrance on Thomas Road was designed so that when someone is entering, they will directly see the view of Red Mountain.

Resident George Schafer, 4055 N. Recker Road #2, spoke in opposition of the project. Mr. Schafer feels there will be an increase in traffic in the area if the project is approved. He asked the Board to clarify is there will be installation of a stop sign or signal at Star Valley Street and, also if the park in Red Mountain Ranch will remain private. Mr. Schafer expressed concerns with the development being located close an industrial development to the north.

Bryan Smith, 4004 N. Ranier, spoke in opposition to the project. Mr. Smith inquired if the development will be gated and will there be a parking lot to the trail head for the area to be developed as a park. He stated the existing road is already congested and without a parking area, parking will occur on the streets. He also informed the Board that the sign stating a new "park is coming" is still up on the property and should be removed.

Kay Carl, 4055 N. Recker Road, #6, spoke in opposition to the project. Ms. Carl stated she is concerned about increased congestion and traffic with the additional housing.

She is also concerned about the use of the Red Mountain Ranch park and questioned why anyone would want to live in the new development with the industrial business nearby.

Sandy Fan, 6264 E. Star Valley Street spoke in opposition to the project. Ms. Fan presented 3 separate signed petitions to the board. The petitions addressed; 1) control the nature of the improvements so that the Red Mountain Ranch park maintains the views they currently enjoy; 2) suggested the hiking trail to have parking lot with an entrance off of Thomas Road; and, 3) they request another park be provided.

John Sell, 4055 N. Recker Road, #3, spoke in opposition to the project. Mr. Sell expressed concerns with the additional traffic congestion, asked that the main entrance for the development be located off Thomas Road, as Recker Road has a large amount of pedestrian activity in the area and feels additional traffic could become a safety issue.

David Bender, 7755 E. Sugarloaf Circle, spoke in opposition to the project. Mr. Bender stated his concern is the loss of open space and future park in the area. And stated has no comments about the conceptual plans of the project but request the construction of a landscape median on Thomas road.

Nadia Ahluwalia, 4016 N. Ranier, spoke about the project. Ms. Ahluwalia spoke in opposition to the development. She believes if the developer has concerns about access to the open space, the developer builds only up to Star Valley Street.

Eileen Lukes, 4055 N. Recker Road, spoke in opposition to the project. Ms. Lukes stated concerns include how the City plans to address the additional needs of the water use as a result of the development. She was also concerned about the increase of student enrollment in the surrounding schools, additional police and fire needs.

Chair Dahlke asked staff to respond to the concerns about traffic and the parking for the new trail head. Staffmember Evan Balmer stated the Transportation Department has reviewed the project and have been involved from the beginning of the review. Mr. Balmer also informed the Board that he spoke with the Traffic Engineer again to discuss the capacity for additional traffic and the City's Transportation Department confirmed there is adequate capacity on the road to handle the additional trips to be generated by the development. In addition, Thomas Road is a five-lane arterial, and the access point on Recker is placed in the middle of the development which will limit traffic on Recker and not all the residents will enter off Recker Road. Mr. Balmer also informed the Board that the City is finalizing the details of potential development of the park north of the property. And the Parks Department will work through the City's normal process with a final approval by Council.

Boardmember Allen inquired if a parking lot is being considered for the park along the north side of the property. Staffmember Balmer responded that the northern portion of the property has been identified as being a park and the details are still being worked through. Boardmember Crockett clarified if there will be a public participation process for local resident's provide input on the design of the park. Mr. Balmer responded this will be spearheaded by the Parks Department and as the plans get closer, it should go through a public process.

Dr. Appiah explained the public parks are reviewed through the Parks Department and the city will follow the required process. He also reminded the Board that the recommendation tonight does not include proposed development of the portion being identified as the park. He also answered the question about the school district's input and informed the Board that as part of the application process, staff sends the request to the school districts for their review and comments. In this case, staff did not receive any responses from the school district. Also, all development applications are sent to all city departments for review and comment and ensures all concerns are addressed prior to scheduling the case for hearing.

Boardmember Sarkissian requested clarification on the designation of the property being planned for a park and the current change. Mr. Balmer responded that the property was purchased many years ago by the City with the intention of it becoming a park. However, it went through two park bond elections and the citizens voted against funding for it. The City then decided to put the property up for auction last year and Blandford become the

highest bidder and was awarded the purchase.

Boardmember Boyle clarified the school district was notified and verified this would not have any detrimental impact on the surrounding schools. Dr. Appiah confirmed that the school district was notified and did not provide any comments in objection to the development.

Boardmember Allen inquired if there are any dedicated pedestrian lanes on Recker Road. Mr. Lake responded to the concerns of the citizens that came to speak against the project. He explained that access into the development off Recker Road is north of Star Valley Road. And this is because they did not want it to align with the road into Red Mountain development. In addition, it is one of the reasons the development was designed with lots closer to the entrance off Thomas and Recker to alleviate excessive traffic on either road. He also addressed the noise concerns from the industrial facility to the north and stated they conducted two noise studies on the site and found it to be within residential guidelines and noise standards. In response to whether there will be a parking lot or not to the park, Mr. lake stated this is a City decision and not part of this application process.

Boardmember Crockett clarified they are working with Red Mountain HOA to share the park as a joint use agreement and confirmed the HOA would need to be in agreement. Mr. Lake stated that the proposed development has adequate amenities even without the agreement. He stated If the HOA agrees for the joint use of the park, they would agree to provide a certain amount of improvements to the HOA park and pay a portion of their dues for maintenance for their park. Overall, the issues are private agreements that have to be resolved separately from the land use case.

Boardmember Boyle appreciates the way the development is utilizing the orientation to take advantage of the view of Red Mountain.

Boardmember Villanueva-Saucedo stated that she appreciates the attention to the natural environment and use of the open space. Ms. Villanueva-Saucedo reminded the public that the park space is not the purview of this board.

Boardmember Crockett agreed with the other boardmember's and feels this is a high quality development. The developer has listened and proactively addressed the issues brought up by the surrounding residents and feels this will be a quality development.

Boardmember Allen motioned to approve case ZON19-00954. The motion was seconded by Boardmember Crockett.

Vote: 7-0 Approved

Upon tabulation of vote, it showed:

AYES - Dahlke, Astle, Sarkissian, Boyle, Allen, Crockett and Villanueva-Saucedo

NAYS - None

\* \* \* \* \*

**ZON19-00806 District 5.** Within the 5600 to 6000 blocks of East Thomas Road (north side) and within the 3600 to 4000 blocks of North Recker Road (west side). Located north of Thomas Road and west of Recker Road. (109.8± acres). Rezone from PS to RS-6-PAD and RS-7-PAD. This request will allow for the development of a single-residence subdivision. Desert Vista 100, LLC, applicant; City of Mesa, owner. (Companion case to ZON19-00954 and Preliminary Plat "Reserve at Red Rock", associated with items 6-a and 6-c)

Planner: Evan Balmer

**Staff Recommendation: Approval with conditions** 

**Summary:** Staffmember Evan Balmer discussed case ZON19-00806 with case ZON19-00954 and preliminary plat "Reserve at Red Rock". There was no individual discussion.

Boardmember Allen motioned to approve case ZON19-00806 with conditions of approval. The motion was seconded by Boardmember Crockett.

#### That: The Board recommends the approval of case ZON19-00806 conditioned upon:

- 1. Compliance with the City of Mesa Zoning Ordinance; except the design standards modified in Table 1 of the staff report.
- 2. Compliance with all requirements of the Subdivision Regulations.
- 3. Execute and comply with the Development Agreement.
- 4. Compliance with all City development codes and regulations.
- Dedicate the right-of-way and easements required under the Mesa City Code at the time of application for a building permit, at the time of recordation of the subdivision plat, or at the time of the City's request for dedication, whichever comes first.
- Owner granting an Avigation Easement and Release to the City, pertaining to Falcon Field Airport which will be prepared and recorded by the City (concurrently with the recordation of the final subdivision map or prior to the issuance of a building permit).
- 7. Written notice be provided to future property owners, and acknowledgment received that the project is within 1.25 miles of Falcon Field Airport.
- 8. Provide a 4-foot x 4-foot sign at the entrance to the sales office for this development, with notice to all prospective buyers that the project is within an Overflight Area for (Falcon Field Airport or PMGA) as specified in Section 11-19-5 of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 9. Prior to the issuance of a building permit, provide documentation by a registered Professional Engineer or registered Professional Architect has certified that Noise attenuation measures have been incorporated into the design and construction of the buildings to achieve a noise level reduction to 45 db as specified in Section 11-19-5 of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 10. All final subdivision plats shall include the following notice: "This property, due to its proximity to Phoenix- Mesa Gateway Airport, will experience aircraft overflights, which are expected to generate noise levels that may be of concern to some individuals."

Vote: 7-0 Approved with conditions Upon tabulation of vote, it showed:

AYES - Dahlke, Astle, Sarkissian, Boyle, Allen, Crockett and Villanueva-Saucedo

NAYS - None

\* \* \* \* \*

6-c "Reserve at Red Rock" District 5. Within the 5600 to 6000 blocks of East Thomas Road (north side) and within the 3600 to 4000 blocks of North Recker Road (west side). Located north of Thomas Road and west of Recker Road. (109.8± acres). Preliminary Plat. Desert Vista 100, LLC, applicant; City of Mesa, owner. (Companion case to ZON19-00954 and ZON19-00806, associated with items 6-a and 6-b)

Planner: Evan Balmer

Staff Recommendation: Approval with conditions

**Summary:** Staffmember Evan Balmer presented preliminary plat "**Reserve at Red Rock**" with cases ZON19-00806 and ZON19-00954. There was no individual discussion.

Boardmember Allen motioned to approve preliminary plat "Reserve at Red Rock" with conditions of approval. The motion was seconded by Boardmember Crockett.

# That: The Board recommends the approval of preliminary plat "Reserve at Red Rock" conditioned upon:

- 1. Compliance with the City of Mesa Zoning Ordinance; except the design standards modified in Table 1 of the staff report.
- 2. Compliance with all requirements of the Subdivision Regulations.
- 3. Execute and comply with the Development Agreement.
- 4. Compliance with all City development codes and regulations.
- Dedicate the right-of-way and easements required under the Mesa City Code at the time of application for a building permit, at the time of recordation of the subdivision plat, or at the time of the City's request for dedication, whichever comes first.
- Owner granting an Avigation Easement and Release to the City, pertaining to Falcon Field Airport which will be prepared and recorded by the City (concurrently with the recordation of the final subdivision map or prior to the issuance of a building permit).
- 7. Written notice be provided to future property owners, and acknowledgment received that the project is within 1.25 miles of Falcon Field Airport.
- 8. Provide a 4-foot x 4-foot sign at the entrance to the sales office for this development, with notice to all prospective buyers that the project is within an Overflight Area for (Falcon Field Airport or PMGA) as specified in Section 11-19-5 of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 9. Prior to the issuance of a building permit, provide documentation by a registered Professional Engineer or registered Professional Architect has certified that Noise attenuation measures have been incorporated into the design and construction of the buildings to achieve a noise level reduction to 45 db as specified in Section 11-19-5 of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 10. All final subdivision plats shall include the following notice: "This property, due to its proximity to Phoenix- Mesa Gateway Airport, will experience aircraft overflights, which are expected to generate noise levels that may be of concern to some individuals."

Vote: 7-0 Approved with conditions Upon tabulation of vote, it showed:

AYES - Dahlke, Astle, Sarkissian, Boyle, Allen, Crockett and Villanueva-Saucedo

NAYS - None

\* \* \* \* \*

# 7. Adjournment.

Vice Chair Astle motioned to adjourn the meeting at 5:33 pm. The motion was seconded by Boardmember Villanueva-Saucedo.

Vote: 7-0 Approved

Upon tabulation of vote, it showed:

AYES - Dahlke, Astle, Sarkissian, Boyle, Allen, Crockett and Villanueva-Saucedo

NAYS - None

Respectfully submitted,

Nana K. Appiah, AICP, Secretary

**Planning Director**