

PLANNING DIVISION STAFF REPORT

Planning and Zoning Board

May 22, 2024

CASE No.: **ZON23-00645** PROJECT NAME: **1756 E. University**

Owner's Name:	Trevally LLC	
Applicant's Name:	Tim Boyle, Atmosphere Architects	
Location of Request:	Within the 1700 block of East University Drive (north side) and within the 400 block of North Hall (east side). Located west of Gilbert Road on the north side of University Drive.	
Parcel No(s):	137-01-231	
Request:	Rezone from Neighborhood Commercial (NC) to Multiple Residential 4 with a Planned Area Development overlay (RM-4-PAD) and Site Plan Review. This request will allow for a multiple residence development.	
Existing Zoning District:	Neighborhood Commercial (NC)	
Council District:	1	
Site Size:	1.5± acres	
Proposed Use(s):	Multiple residence	
Existing Use(s):	Vacant	
P&Z Hearing Date(s):	May 22, 2024 / 4:00 p.m.	
Staff Planner:	Jennifer Merrill, Senior Planner	
Staff Recommendation:	APPROVAL with Conditions	

HISTORY

On **March 21, 1959**, the City Council annexed 1,378 acres, including the project site, into the City of Mesa (Ordinance No. 366).

On **November 19, 1984**, the City Council rezoned four acres from Limited Multiple Residence (R-3), Restricted Multiple Residence (R-2) and Single Family Residence (R1-6) to Neighborhood Commercial (C-1), Residential Service (RS) and Limited Multiple Residence (R-3) for the development of a 2.6-acre retail center and a 42-unit apartment complex. The project site was approved for C-1 zoning (Case No. Z84-157, Ordinance No. 1895).

On **June 19, 2001**, the Planning Director approved a Land Split for 4.23 acres, which divided the project site from the charter school property to the north (Case No. LS01-008). The charter school was constructed in 2001.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Background:

The applicant is requesting to rezone the 1.5± acre project site from Neighborhood Commercial (NC) to Multiple Residential 4 with a Planned Area Development overlay (RM-4-PAD) and site plan review of an Initial Site Plan to allow for development of a multiple residence project (Proposed Project).

The project site, which is currently vacant, is located west of Gilbert Road on the north side of University Drive, just west of the Salt River Project (SRP) consolidated canal.

The proposed site plan shows a gated 30-unit residential development consisting of nine buildings. Each three-story unit has two bedrooms, two bathrooms and an attached two-car garage. The main entrance to the development is off of Hall, with a service and emergency exit onto University Drive.

General Plan Character Area Designation and Goals:

The General Plan Character area for the property is Neighborhood with a Suburban Sub-type. The primary focus of the Neighborhoods character type is to provide safe places for people to live where they can feel secure and enjoy their surrounding community. Per Chapter 4 of the General Plan, Neighborhoods should provide a safe, clean, and healthy living environment with opportunities for residents to interact, connect and enjoy their outdoor spaces. Neighborhoods should also be diverse and have character and personality provided through quality design and development.

The Suburban sub-type is the predominant neighborhood pattern in Mesa. These neighborhoods are primarily single residence in nature with most lots ranging in size from 6,000 sq. ft. to 18,000 sq. ft. As part of a total neighborhood area, this character type may also contain areas of duplexes and other multi-residence properties and commercial uses along arterial frontages and at major street intersections.

The primary zoning districts for the Suburban Sub-type include Residential Single (RS) Dwelling, Residential Small Lot (RSL) Dwelling, and Residential Multiple Dwelling 2 (RM-2). Secondary zoning districts include Residential Multiple Dwelling 3 and 4 (RM-3, RM-4). A majority of the character area must first utilize the primary zoning districts and primary land uses listed. After the primary zoning districts and primary land uses are established, the use of secondary zoning districts and secondary land uses are permitted, in appropriate locations, as long as the secondary zoning districts and land uses add to the mixture of uses and zoning and otherwise foster vibrancy of the area. Staff has determined that a majority (\geq 55%) of the area contains the primary zoning districts; therefore, the proposed rezoning to RM-4, a secondary zoning district, would be permitted.

Zoning District Designations:

The existing zoning for the property is Neighborhood Commercial (NC). The purpose of the NC district is to provide areas for locally oriented retail and service uses that serve the surrounding residential trade area within a one-half to two-mile radius. Multi-residential uses are permitted in the NC zoning district if they comply with Section 11-31-31 of the Mesa Zoning Ordinance (MZO), and incorporate a minimum amount of commercial use, or through approval of a Council Use Permit (a public hearing process similar to a rezoning).

The proposed zoning district is RM-4-PAD. The purpose of the RM zoning district is to provide areas for a variety of housing types. Appropriate types of dwelling units include small-lot single residences, townhouses, cluster housing, and multiple residence housing. The RM-4 zoning permits a maximum density of 30 dwelling units per acre, and the proposed development has a density of 20.7 dwelling units per acre.

The Planned Area Development (PAD) overlay is to permit flexibility in the application of zoning standards and requirements where it can be demonstrated that the proposed development provides equivalent or superior standards in a creative way to meet the intent of the underlaying zoning district and general plan.

Surrounding Zoning Designations and Existing Use Activity:

Northwest	North Northeast	
(Across Hall)		(Across SRP Canal)
RM-3	OC	RM-4
Multiple Residential	Charter School	Multiple Residential
West	Subject Property	East
(Across Hall)	NC	NC
RM-3 & RM-4	Vacant	Vacant & SRP Canal
Multiple Residential & Office		
Southwest	South	Southeast
(Across University Dr. & Hall)	(Across University Dr.)	(Across University Dr.)
OC	OC & RS-6	RM-4
Offices	Single Residences & Office	Offices

Compatibility with Surrounding Land Uses:

The adjacent property to the north contains a charter school, and surrounding properties to the west contain multiple residential and office uses. The small vacant parcel adjacent to the east is owned by SRP. The surrounding development is primarily single story; however, two-story multiple residential developments can be found across the canal to the northeast of the Proposed Project, as well as one block to the west. The proposed multiple residential development is compatible with the surrounding mixture of uses, and per the narrative will provide a more urban aesthetic as the area transitions to a higher use transit corridor.

PAD Overlay Modification – MZO Article 3, Chapter 22:

The subject request includes a Planned Area Development overlay (PAD) to allow for modifications to certain required development standards of the MZO on the property. Per Section 11-22 of the MZO, the purpose of the PAD overlay is to allow innovative design and flexibility that creates high-quality development for the site. Table 1 below shows the MZO required standards and the applicant's proposed PAD standards.

Table 1: Development Standards

Table 1. Development Standar	43		Staff
Development Standards	MZO Required	PAD Proposed	Recommendation
Minimum Yards –	<u> </u>	'	
MZO Section 11-5-5(A)			
-Front and Street-Facing			
Side: adjacent to a 4-lane	20 feet	9 feet-6 inches	
arterial (University Drive)			
-Interior Side and Rear: 3 or	15 feet per story (45	9 feet -8inches per	As proposed
more units on lot	feet wide total)	story (29 feet wide	
(north property line)		total)	
		-	
(east property line)	15 feet per story (45	5 feet per story (15	
	feet wide total)	feet wide total)	
Minimum Separation			
Between Buildings on Same			
Lot –			
MZO Section 11-5-5(A)			
-Three-story building	35 feet	8 feet	As proposed
Off-site Access –			
MZO Section 11-5-5(B)(4)(b)	Drive aisles leading	No walkways along	As proposed
	to main entrances	entrance drive	
	shall have walkways	aisle	
	on both sides of the		
	drive aisle		
Attached Garages –			
MZO Section 11-5-	When multiple	4 garage doors	As proposed
5(B)(4)(f)(iii)	garage doors are	adjacent to one	
	located within 1	another	
	building, the		
	maximum number of		
	garage doors		
	adjacent to one		
	another shall be		
	limited to 3		
Building Materials –			
MZO Section 11-5-5(B)(5)(b)	Buildings must	Siding covers >14%	As proposed
	contain at least 2	of the exterior	

	kinds of primary	walls of the	
	kinds of primary		
	exterior materials	building	
	distinctively different		
	in texture or masonry		
	pattern, with each of		
	the required		
	materials covering at		
	least 25% of the		
	exterior walls of the		
	building		
Parking Area Design -			
MZO Section 11-32-4(A)			
-Setback of Cross Drive	50 feet from	14 feet from	As proposed
Aisles	property line	University Drive	
	abutting the street		
Bicycle and Motorcycle	and a tring the street		
Parking – MZO Section 11-			
32-8(A)(1)			
-Spaces required	At least 1 bicycle	At least 1 bicycle	As proposed
-spaces required	·	•	As proposed
	space per 10 on-site	space per 15 on-	
	vehicle parking	site vehicle parking	
	spaces actually	spaces (5 spaces)	
	provided (74/10=8		
	spaces)		
Required Landscape Yards –			
MZO Section 11-33-			
3(B)(2)(a)			
-Non-Single Residential	15 feet	2 feet-2 inches	As proposed
Uses Adjacent to Other		(north property	
Non-Single Residence Uses		line)	
or Districts			
Interior Parking Lot			
Landscaping –			
MZO Section 11-33-4(B)(2)			
-Landscape Island Width	8 feet	2 feet-11 inches	
·			As proposed
MZO Section 11-33-			
4(D)(1)(a)			
-Plant Materials	1 shade tree and 3	0 shade trees and 4	
. idite ivideeridis	shrubs for every 15-	shrubs for every	
	foot parking island	15-foot parking	
	TOOL Parking Island	island	
Foundation Page M470		เรเสเเน	
Foundation Base – MZO			
Section 11-33-5(A)(2)(a)			
-Width along exterior walls	40 (4 foot Circl	
without a public entrance	10 feet	1 foot-6 inches	

adjacent to parking stalls			
			As proposed
-Width along exterior walls			
without a public entrance			
adjacent to drive aisles	5 feet	4 feet-1 inches	

Minimum Yards:

Per MZO Section 11-5-5(A), front and street-facing side yards adjacent to a four-lane arterial streets are required to be a minimum of 20 feet wide. The request is for a minimum nine-foot six-inch yard adjacent to University Drive. Per the narrative, the University setback is reduced to better engage the street, providing a more urban aesthetic as the area transitions to a higher use transit corridor. Also, the units located closest to University Drive face east or west, and therefore are not sacrificing privacy.

Per MZO Section 11-5-5(A), rear yards for development with three or more units are required to have side and rear yards a minimum of 15 feet per story (45 feet for three-story buildings). The request is for a 29-foot-wide yard along the north property line, adjacent to a charter school on Office Commercial (OC) zoned property, and a 15-foot-wide yard adjacent to the east property line, adjacent to vacant land zoned Neighborhood Commercial (NC). Per the narrative, more open space is provided per unit than is required, and placing buildings closer to perimeter lot lines frees up space for common amenity areas including the proposed pool, BBQ area and turf areas.

Minimum Separation Between Buildings on Same Lot:

Per MZO Section 11-5-5(A), three-story buildings are required to be separated by a minimum of 35 feet. The proposal is for a minimum eight-foot building separation. Per the narrative, placing buildings close together provides shade, and the narrow areas of the site have added shade structures that will be integral to the design. The walkways in those narrow areas were added to provide additional connectivity throughout the site, and trellis shades are proposed over those walkways.

Offsite Access:

Per MZO Section 11-5-5(B)(4)(b), drive aisles leading to main entrances shall have walkways on both sides of the drive aisle. The applicant is not proposing walkways along the drive aisles, instead the vehicular entry to the development is separate from the pedestrian entry; the two entries are approximately 15 feet apart.

Attached Garages:

Per MZO Section 11-5-5(B)(4)(f)(iii), when multiple garage doors are located within one building, the maximum number of garage doors adjacent to one another shall be limited to three, unless there is a break in the building façade between garage doors. The break shall contain a major architectural feature, such as a building entrance or equivalent feature. Staff worked with the applicant to break the buildings into smaller sections, divided by walkways, to reduce the number of adjacent garage doors. The site plan shows a maximum of four adjacent garage doors. Per the narrative, if the site were designed with a maximum of three garages in a row, the pedestrian walkways would be angled too much, creating potential safety hazards.

Building Materials:

Per MZO Section 11-5-5(B)(5)(b), buildings must contain at least two kinds of primary exterior materials distinctively different in texture or masonry pattern, with each of the required materials covering at least twenty-five percent of the exterior walls of the building. Per the narrative, the contrasting orange and white colors create an interesting relationship to each other and their placement across the façade. Too many dissimilar materials could clutter the appearance and minimize the dynamic between the orange and white paint scheme. A minimum of 14 percent of the exterior walls is comprised of siding, and per the applicant, increasing the percentage of the siding would make the project design less striking.

Parking Area Design:

Per MZO Section 11-32-4(A), parking spaces along main drive aisles connecting directly to a street and drive aisles that cross such main drive aisles shall be set back at least 50 feet from the property line abutting the street. The site plan shows a 14-foot setback from the garage for Unit 113 and University Drive at the service and emergency exit.

Bicycle and Motorcycle Parking:

Per MZO Section 11-32-8(A)(1), bicycle parking is required for multi-unit residential buildings and nonresidential development. Unless otherwise expressly stated, buildings and uses subject to bicycle parking requirements must provide at least three bicycle parking spaces or at least one bicycle space per 10 on-site vehicle parking spaces actually provided, whichever is greater. The development includes 74 vehicle parking spaces, which would equate to a minimum of eight bicycle parking spaces. The proposal is to provide five bicycle parking spaces near the mailboxes in the northeast area of the site. Per the narrative, the applicant anticipates bicycle storage to occur in the private attached garages.

Required Landscape Yards:

Per MZO Section 11-33-3(B)(2)(a), for non-single residence uses adjacent to other non-single residence uses or districts, properties must provide a 15-foot landscape yard except where a cross-access drive aisle occurs within the required landscape yard. The request is for a minimum two-foot two-inch wide landscape yard along the north property line, adjacent to a charter school on Office Commercial (OC) zoned property. Per the narrative, more open space is provided per unit than is required, and placing buildings closer to perimeter lot lines frees up space for common amenity areas including the proposed pool, BBQ area and turf areas.

<u>Interior Parking Lot Landscaping:</u>

Per MZO Section 11-33-4(B)(2), landscape islands shall be a minimum of eight feet wide and 15 feet in length for single-row parking. All measurements are to face of curb. The request is for minimum two-foot 11-inch-wide landscape islands. Per the narrative, the applicant has provided more than the required number of guest parking spaces in response to increased concern from City staff and neighbors regarding the amount of guest parking spaces provided in multiple residential developments. By increasing the number of guest spaces, the landscape islands have been reduced in width.

Per MZO Section 11-33-4(D)(1)(a), one shade tree and three shrubs shall be provided for every 15-foot parking island. The reduced widths of the two proposed landscape islands will not support tree growth, and therefore trees have been eliminated from the two landscape islands. The reason for the reduced widths is to allow additional guest parking spaces.

Foundation Base:

Per MZO Section 11-33-5(A)(2)(a), for exterior walls without a public entrance, a minimum 10-foot-wide foundation base shall be provided, measured from face of building to face of curb along the entire length of the exterior wall adjacent to parking stalls. The proposal is for a minimum one-foot six-inch foundation base width along the east elevations of the two east-west-oriented buildings.

Per MZO Section 11-33-5(A)(2)(b), a minimum five-foot-wide foundation base shall be provided, measured from face of building to face of curb along the entire length of the exterior wall adjacent to drive aisles. The site plan shows a minimum four-foot one-inch foundation base width along the west elevations of the two east-west-oriented buildings. Per the narrative, foundation base widths have been reduced below minimum to accommodate the standards for drive aisles. To minimize the impact of this, the end units feature integrated planters.

Justification:

As justification for the requested deviations, the applicant is proposing a unique two-tone architectural design with recessed planters and walkways shaded with trellises. Each unit contains more than the required amount of private open space area, and the overall development features more common amenity space than is required. Amenities include a pool, BBQ area, ramada and turf areas.

Overall, the proposed development complies with requirements of a PAD outlined in Section 11-22 of the MZO by providing equivalent or superior features that meet the intent of the base zone development standards.

Site Plan and General Site Development Standards:

The Proposed Project contains nine multiple residence buildings that provide a total of 30 dwelling units. The buildings are three stories, and each of the three-story units includes two bedrooms, two bathrooms, a patio, two balconies, and an attached two-car garage.

Pedestrian access is provided from Hall and from University Drive. Paths continue throughout the development to each unit and to the central amenity area. The site and landscape plans show a shade structure, barbecue area, and pool in the centrally located amenity area.

Vehicular access is provided from Hall and an emergency and service exit is shown along University Drive. Per Section 11-32-3 of the MZO, 63 parking spaces (including 60 covered spaces) are required for this 30-unit development, and 74 spaces (including 60 private garage spaces) are provided.

Overall, staff finds that the proposed site plan conforms to the review criteria for Site Plan Review outlined in Section 11-69-5 of the City of Mesa Zoning Ordinance.

Design Review:

The Design Review Board is scheduled to review the subject request on May 9, 2024. Staff will be working with the applicant to address any comments and recommendations from the Design Review Board.

Neighborhood Participation Plan and Public Comments:

The applicant completed a Citizen Participation process, including notification of surrounding property owners within 1,000 feet, and HOAs and registered neighbors within one mile. A neighborhood meeting was held virtually on August 17, 2023, and the only attendees were the architect and client. At the time of writing this report, staff has not received any inquiries about the proposed development.

School Impact Analysis:

The Mesa Public School District reviewed the request for its potential impact on the existing

Proposed Development (30 Units)	Name of School	Annual Estimated Demand	Adequate Capacity to Serve
Elementary	Hughes	5	Yes
Middle School	Poston	1	Yes
High School	Mountain View	1	Yes

schools in the area. The school district provided the following analysis:

Staff Recommendation:

Staff find that the requested rezoning and Site Plan Review complies with the Mesa 2040 General Plan, MZO Section 11-69-5 for Site Plan Review, and with the review criteria for a Planned Area Development Overlay outlined in Section 11-22-1 of the MZO.

Staff recommends approval with the following **Conditions of Approval:**

- 1. Compliance with the final site plan submitted.
- 2. Compliance with all requirements of Design Review Case No. DRB23-00680.
- 3. Dedicate the right-of-way and easements required under the Mesa City Code at the time of application for a building permit, at the time of recordation of the subdivision plat, or at the time of the City's request for dedication, whichever comes first.
- 4. Compliance with all City development codes and regulations, except the modification to the development standards as approved with this PAD overlay as shown in the following table:

Development Standards	Approved
Minimum Yards –	
MZO Section 11-5-5(A)	
-Front and Street-Facing Side: adjacent to a	
4-lane arterial (University Drive)	9 feet -6 inches

Interior Cide and Dear 2 or many with an	
-Interior Side and Rear: 3 or more units on	
lot	0 foot 8 inches per story /20 foot wide
(north property line)	9 feet-8 inches per story (29 feet wide total)
(east property line)	totalj
(east property line)	5 feet per story (15 feet wide total)
Minimum Separation Between Buildings on	5 reet per story (15 reet wide total)
Same Lot –	
MZO Section 11-5-5(A)	
-Three-story building	8 feet
Off-site Access –	0.000
MZO Section 11-5-5(B)(4)(b)	No walkways along entrance drive aisle
Attached Garages –	The maintrage aren's entrance arms aren's
MZO Section 11-5-5(B)(4)(f)(iii)	4 garage doors adjacent to one another
Building Materials –	- Barago acoro anjacom co emo amonio.
MZO Section 11-5-5(B)(5)(b)	Siding covers ≥14% of the exterior walls
	of the building
Parking Area Design -	3. 6.1.5 2.5.116.11.6
MZO Section 11-32-4(A)	
-Setback o Cross Drive Aisles	14 feet from University Drive
Bicycle and Motorcycle Parking – MZO	
Section 11-32-8(A)(1)	
-Spaces required	At least 1 bicycle space per 15 on-site
	vehicle parking spaces (5 spaces)
Required Landscape Yards –	
MZO Section 11-33-3(B)(2)(a)	
-Non-Single Residential Uses Adjacent to	2 feet-2 inches (north property line)
Other Non-Single Residence Uses or	
Districts	
Interior Parking Lot Landscaping –	
MZO Section 11-33-4(B)(2)	
-Landscape Island Width	2 feet-11 inches
MZO Section 11-33-4(D)(1)(a)	0 shade trees and 4 shrubs for every 15-
-Plant Materials	foot parking island
Foundation Base – MZO Section 11-33-	
5(A)(2)(a)	
-Width along exterior walls without a public	1 feet-6 inches
entrance adjacent to parking stalls	
-Width along exterior walls without a public	4 feet-1 inches
entrance adjacent to drive aisles	

Exhibits:

Exhibit 1 – Staff Report

Exhibit 2 – Vicinity Map

Exhibit 3 – Project Narrative

Exhibit 4 – Site Plan & Details

Exhibit 5 – Landscape Plan

Exhibit 6 – Preliminary Grading and Drainage Plan

Exhibit 7 – Elevations & Floorplans

Exhibit 8 – Citizen Participation Plan

Exhibit 9 – Citizen Participation Report

Exhibit 10 – Power Point Presentation