Reed Park Residences

PAD Amendment and Design Review 537 S. Williams Rd.

Project Narrative



Submitted by:



Pew & Lake, P.L.C.
Real Estate and Land Use Attorneys

Reese L. Anderson & Jon Gillespie 1744 S. Val Vista Drive, Suite 217 Mesa, AZ 85204

Phone: (480) 461-4670 reese.anderson@pewandlake.com jon.gillespie@pewandlake.com

On behalf of: Ridgeway Investments, LLC

June 8, 2023

Contents

l.	Introduction
II.	Background3
III.	Development Requests
IV.	Existing Site Conditions and Relationship to Surrounding Properties4
V.	Project Description5
Si	te Layout6
Α	ccess/Circulation/Parking6
0	pen Space6
Α	rchitecture
La	andscaping7
U	tilities
D	evelopment Standards8
VI.	General Plan Conformance
VII.	PAD Rezoning Analysis
VIII.	Conclusion
Tab	oles & Figures
Figu	re 1 – Site Aerial 3
Figu	re 2 – Surrounding Zoning Classification Map4
Figu	re 3 – Surrounding General Plan Designation Map5
Figu	re 4 – Preliminary Site Plan 6
Tabl	e 1 – Relationship to Surrounding Uses4
Tabl	e 2 – Development Standards 8

I. Introduction

Pew & Lake, PLC, on behalf of our client Ridgeway Investments, LLC, is pleased to submit this Project Narrative for the Reed Park Residences, a multi-family development on 3.31 gross acres (3.18 net acres) located approximately 1,000 feet south of Broadway Road, east side of Williams in Mesa, AZ ("the Property"). The property is identified on the Maricopa County Assessor's map as parcels 139-54-043, -044, and -045.

As shown on the aerial map below, the site is a by-passed piece of property which is surrounded by residential development and the City of Mesa's Reed Park. The relatively small size of the site and its long standing, vacant, in-fill nature makes it ideal for a multi-family residential development such as the one being proposed by the developer. The request would allow for development of a high-quality, 72-unit, apartment community, which significantly improves upon the prior PAD Site Plan approved for this in-fill property in 1984.



Figure 1 - Site Aerial

II. Background

The current zoning for this property is RM-4-PAD and was approved in 1984 under Case No. Z84-27 (Ordinance No. 1810) to permit the development of 72 multi-family units. That project never materialized, and the historic aerials confirm that this property was never developed and has remained vacant.

III. Development Requests

We are requesting the City of Mesa approve the following:

- 1. PAD Amendment under the RM-4 PAD;
- 2. Design Review Approval; and
- 3. Site Plan approval.

These requests, if approved, will facilitate the development of a 72-unit community with amenities such as a pool.

We believe these requests are justified based on the minimal deviations proposed under existing zoning standards for the site, unique surrounding conditions of the site, the relatively small size of the Property, and the in-fill nature of the Property.

IV. Existing Site Conditions and Relationship to Surrounding Properties

The Property is bound on the north and south by multi-family uses with the same or similar base zoning district. West of the site is the City of Mesa owned and operated Reed Park. East of the site there are single family detached homes, which appear to have been built in the mid-1960's. These uses and their corresponding General Plan and Zoning designations are set forth in the table below. The existing General Plan and Zoning maps are provided below.

Table 1 - Relationship to Surrounding Uses

Direction	General Plan Character Area	Existing Zoning	Existing Use			
North	Neighborhood	RM-3	Multi-Family Residential			
East	Neighborhood	RS-6	Single-Family Residential			
South	Neighborhood	RM-4	Multi-Family Residential			
West	Park	RS-6	Williams Street and Reed Park			
Project Site	Neighborhood	RM-4 PAD	Vacant			

Figure 2 - Surrounding Zoning Classification Map

RM-4

RS-6

RM-4

LC

RS-6

RM-4

Subject Property

RS-6



Figure 3 – Surrounding General Plan Designation Map

V. Project Description

The proposed site plan has been thoughtfully considered to provide an attractive and functional development. The apartment units are aligned around one looped drive with the primary access for residents and guests being a gated entry off Williams. The southwest driveway is for exit only and emergency access. A single looped drive connects residents and visitors directly to parking in front of units providing a very practical site layout. This loop design also provides for a significant building setback to adjacent property lines ranging from 50 to 81 feet. This buffer is larger than what code requires and much larger for the northern and southern sides of the building, which are the longer stretches of building.

The residences can also be accessed via pedestrian sidewalks that connect to the amenities, parking, and public sidewalk. All units contain a minimum of 60 feet of private open space with additional common open space accessible (common open space attributable at 663 square feet per unit).

The addition of high-quality residential in the area will serve to revitalize an area of the City which has not seen recent improvements. This coordinated apartment complex will serve as an important example of high quality, in-fill development in this area of the City and will largely meet the existing development standards granted to the site including with reference to building height, density, building and landscape setbacks, and common amenities.

The community has been designed with enhanced architectural interest and diversity, which exceeds the standard of architectural design in the area. Elevations have an asymmetrical design that increases architectural interest. On the next page is a figure representing the conceptual site plan for the Property.

PROPOSED
PRE HTIGHAN
PROPOSED
PROPOS

Figure 4 - Preliminary Site Plan

Site Layout

The site is 3.31 gross acres (3.18 net acres) in size and is much deeper than it is wide. The site layout intuitively connects the access points, parking, housing units and open spaces, while providing easy access to Reed Park. A central amenity area highlights the primary community gathering place with a generous landscape buffer provided on the eastern bounds of the site due to the proximity to single family residential. The Williams entrance is gated with full access. The southeast access point is for exit only with emergency access available. The façade and pedestrian access plan along Williams engages the street creating a desirable, usable architectural frontage.

Access/Circulation/Parking

The internal driveway provides residents and guests easy access to Williams, Reed Park, onsite parking, open space amenities and the units. The project exceeds the City of Mesa standards for parking by providing 163 total stalls (72 covered) where 152 stalls are required (72 covered). This 2.26 parking ratio will ensure parking is managed on site and create a desirable amenity for tenants and their visitors.

Open Space

The proposed development will meet or exceed the City of Mesa retention and open space requirements. Both public and private open space requirements will be met. Whereas 150 SF of common open space is required to be attributed to each unit, 663 SF is provided. The principal common open space area is in the center of the site with additional open space located on the eastern boundary of the site. On-site retention utilizes underground storage tanks. The conceptual grading and drainage plans have been provided with this submittal to ensure that adequate on-site retention is provided. Ground floor private open space is provided at a minimum of 100 square feet per two-bedroom unit with 15'-4" width by 6'-6" foot depth dimensions and at a minimum of 134 square feet per three-bedroom unit with 27'-9" width by 4'-10" foot depth dimensions. Upper story units maintain minimum 67 square feet (13'-4" x 5').

Architecture

The Reed Park Residences will provide for a three-story housing product with three-bedroom (1,137 SF) and two bedroom (879 SF) offerings along with open floor plan design concepts and enhanced modern architecture. The street-facing side of the buildings receives particular enhancements to meet the Mesa Quality Development Design Guidelines. The proposed development will offer an architectural design which enhances the surrounding community while providing market driven enhancements and updates.

The provided elevations portray a contemporary design which is not stucco dominant and features a balanced approach to material usage. Facades are articulated with ground floor inlets, vertical projections, and parapet use. Four materials (stucco, plank lap siding, brick veneer, metal mesh, and glazing) are utilized on the building elevation whereas two is the standard Mesa requirement. Materials are applied in a functional and aesthetic manner with no single material exceeding 61% on any façade. A combination of brick and plank lap siding accounts for between 28-36% of the material calculation on all facades with particular character development emphasized on public facing facades. The use of extra materials provides an appealing character which warrants alternative compliance. Additionally, varied stucco color further enhances the finish of the architecture.

Architectural articulation is accomplished with a Variable Roof Form because the parapet elements break up the roofline between units.

Landscaping

The landscape plan includes thoughtful placement of shade trees and smaller shrubs and plants and will comply with the applicable City landscaping standards. All landscaping will consist of native and naturalized plants that complement the proposed development and the surrounding developments. Landscaping elements serve an important purpose within the central area of this site where landscape nodes have been created to house trees which serve to create an inviting environment for neighbor interaction at the pedestrian level. The developer has also invested significantly in larger 36-inch box trees to help improve the landscaping design throughout the project.

Landscape islands are provided between covered parking canopies on the north and south sides of the property. Rather than providing longer stretches of monotonous covered canopies as allowed under the zoning ordinance, the site plan provides breaks between the canopies.

Utilities

The onsite water and sewer services and other utilities will be supplied via the connections to the surrounding systems and an on-site loop of services. This is an in-fill site where all utilities and services are in place within the adjacent public streets and capable of incorporating this development into the system.

Development Standards

The development's conformance and deviations from the approved RM-4 standards is detailed in the development standards table on the next page. The typical RM-4 standards are also shown in the table with proposed deviations to the existing RM-4 PAD in **bold** font.

Table 2 - Development Standards

Standard	Standards Per 1984 Zoning Case	Current RM-4 Standards)	Proposed
Maximum Density (du/ac)	22.64	30	22.64
Maximum Lot Coverage (%)	N/A	70%	70%
Minimum Building Setback (ft)			
Front (Williams St.) (ft.)		20	20
Interior Side (North) (ft)	20	45	80
Interior Side (South) (ft)	20	45	811
Interior Rear (East) (ft)	20	45	50
Minimum Landscape Yard (ft)			
Front/Street (Williams) (ft)	20	20	20
Interior Side (North) (ft)	0	15	4
Interior Side (South) (ft)	0	15	8
Rear (East) (ft)	0	25	20
Maximum Building Coverage (%)		55%	19.9%
Minimum Open Space (sq. ft. / unit)		150 per unit (9,000 sq. ft)	663 per unit (47,732 sq. ft.)
Private Open Space Minimum Dimension Ground (ft)	N/A	10	4'-10"
Proportion of Upper Story Private Open Space (sq. ft. / unit)		2 bedroom: 100 3 bedroom: 120	2 bedroom: 60 3 bedroom: 60
Proportion of Ground Floor Private Open Space (sq. ft. / unit)		2 bedroom: 100 3 bedroom: 120	2 bedroom: 100 3 bedroom: 134
Private Open Space unobstructed between three (3) and eight (8) feet	N/A	3-feet min.	4-feet min.
Parking		152 (2.1 per unit)	163 (2.6 per unit)

¹ The south side is adjacent to a dedicated public alley. MZO Section 11-5-3(A)(3)(b) provides for RSL projects that rear yards may be reduced when adjacent to an alley. Here, both to the north and the south, the property borders dedicated public alleys. Please see the discussion above relative to why a similar approach can be taken here to allow for up to 10 feet of the width of the alley to be included in the side yard setback.

Justification

The majority of the foregoing development standards for the project meet the existing RM-4 development standards and are less intense than the approved RM-4 PAD standards from 1984. The density for the project is proposed at 22.64 du/ac, which replicates the prior approval and is below the RM-4 standards which allows up to 30 du/ac. The developer is providing an attractive, workforce housing sized unit with only 19.9% building coverage (where 55% is allowed), and desirable amenities (including a community pool). This project should be viewed as maintaining attractive characteristics for the site and a novel approach to in-fill development.

The perimeter setbacks maintain landscape setback deviation requests but the largely 8-to-20-foot landscape areas provide room for larger tree placement. The short stretch where a 4-foot setback is provided is to accommodate the gate turnaround. The building setbacks are large and are added to the existing City of Mesa Alley ROW which increases the real building setback.

The reduced dimension on ground floor private open space depth dimensions areas (4'-10" provided on certain units where 10 feet required), is justified because each unit maintains usable private open space with above average common open space being provided for residents.

The private open space is covered, which improves the functionality of these open space areas and the overall site design. On the ground floor, minimum 4-foot patio walls are provided where 3 feet is required. This condition improves the usability of this space and allows for residents to interact openly without fear of animals breaching the wall.

Alternative compliance is sought to Section 11-5-5.B.5 of the MZO regarding percentage of the buildings second material. Here, five materials (stucco, plank lap siding, brick, metal mesh, and glazing) are utilized on the building elevation whereas two is the standard Mesa requirement. Materials are applied in a functional and aesthetic manner with no single material exceeding 61% on any façade. A combination of stone and plank lap siding accounts for between 28-36% of the material calculation on all facades with particular character development emphasized on the public facing facade. The use of extra materials provides an appealing character which warrants alternative compliance. Additionally, varied stucco color further enhances the finish of the architecture.

VI. General Plan Conformance

The proposed development concepts are consistent with the intent of the City of Mesa General Plan. Under the Neighborhood General Plan designation this infill location is encouraged to develop a variety of housing types. The Residential Multiple (RM) designation fits squarely within the long-term vision of the City's General Plan.

The proposed development offers an opportunity for quality housing that contributes to long-term stability and quality of the overall area. The proposed development adheres to the General Plan by accomplishing the following roles that relate to the General Plan Goals, Objective and Policies:

- RM-4 zoned property is an encouraged use in Neighborhood areas with a multi-family product allowed in the RM-4 zoning district (see Mesa 2040 General Plan page 7-11).
- Density for Reed Park Residences is proposed at 22.64 du/ac which is appropriate in the Neighborhood designated area and justified because the development is on the edge of two (2) neighborhoods (see Mesa 2040 General Plan page 7-10)
- Providing opportunities for a variety of housing in this area of east Mesa by adding new multi-family options for residents (see Mesa 2040 General Plan page 4-6)
- Providing appropriate infill development on a parcel which meets the MZO definition of a by-passed parcel (see Mesa 2040 General Plan page 15-1)

VII. PAD Rezoning Analysis

Development of the parcel has proven fruitless over the years, but this proposed plan will ensure a quality project is built on site. Higher density residential is necessary and appropriate in order to develop this small, remnant, by-passed parcel. The proposed site plan should be compared to the approved 1984 zoning case. Importantly, this proposal includes a superior housing product including better site layout and housing design, private open space, and covered parking while maintaining the approved density and developing the project below the RM-4 permitted development standards including the allowed building height.

Special consideration should be given to develop by-passed parcels which have unique size and are needing to be developed later in time than the surrounding development. The property approaches the definition of a by-passed parcel under the MZO because it is slightly over 2.5 acres (it is actually 3.18 net acres), has been in its current configuration for more than 10 years, has direct access to existing utility distribution facilities, and is surrounded by properties within a 1,200-foot radius which have no undevelopable land and all surrounding properties were developed more than 15 years ago.

The proposed development provides equivalent or superior standards to the existing zoning in a creative way to meet the intent of the underlaying zoning district and general plan. The proposal addresses the PAD findings of the City of Mesa as detailed on the next page.

A. Well designed and integrated open space and/or recreational facilities held in common ownership and of a scale that is proportionate to the use;

The provided common open space is 34% of the site. This area is centrally located and commonly accessible by residents.

B. Options for the design and use of private or public streets;

Private drive aisles (25-26 feet wide) are proposed for this project with special design considerations related to the surrounding public alleys.

C. Preservation of significant aspects of the natural character of the land;

The existing land is vacant and unremarkable without significant aspects.

D. Building design, site design, and amenities that create a unique and more sustainable alternative to conventional development;

The proposed high-quality multi-family project is unique to an area which is proliferated with duplex, quad, and traditional condominium housing. The proposed alternative maintains common amenities with architecture that far exceeds the built environment.

E. Sustainable property owners' associations;

The Reed Park Residences property management organization will be privately maintained and the number of units provided will ensure that the association is properly funded and sustained.

F. Maintenance of property held in common ownership through the use of recorded covenants, conditions, and restrictions; and

The Reed Park Residences will be privately maintained and the number of units provided will ensure that the single-ownership association is properly funded and sustained.

G. Single or multiple land use activities organized in a comprehensive manner, and designed to work together in common and in a synergistic manner to the benefit of both the project and the neighboring area.

As mentioned above, the community is designed with centralized open space, practical guest parking, and foresees minimal impact on the surrounding alleys and developments.

VIII. Conclusion

Reed Park Residences will provide an additional residential offering within the City of Mesa, establishing a new community among the larger residential area. This subdivision will create an opportunity for a quality housing option adjacent to a City of Mesa park.

This application is consistent with the land use patterns already established in the immediate area and is therefore compatible with the vision established by the City of Mesa General Plan and the standards established under the approved zoning. The Reed Park Residences will prove to be an excellent development on these by-passed parcels. The applicant and property owner look forward to working with the City of Mesa to create this quality project, and respectfully request approval of this application.