### **OZ16 QOZB, LLC**

Date: April 17, 2025

Mesa City Clerk PO Box 1466

Mesa, AZ 85211-1466

# **Subject: Formal Objection to District Assessment**

Dear City Clerk,

I am writing to formally object to the proposed assessment under district 228 assessments, which affects the property located at:

Property Address: 311 West Main Street, Mesa, AZ 85210

Parcel Number: 138-54-010

I respectfully request that this objection be recorded and presented as part of the public record.

As a property owner, I am concerned about the financial burden this assessment imposes.

I hereby request that the City of Mesa reevaluate the need for and fairness of this assessment and consider alternative funding mechanisms or adjustments to ensure equitable treatment of affected property owners.

Thank you for your attention to this objection.

Sincerely,

Steve Ruf, Manager OZ16 OOZB, LLC



### NOTARY ACKNOWLEDGMENT

State of \_\_\_\_\_\_) County of LT )

On this 17 day of April, 20, before me, the undersigned Notary Public, personally appeared

personally known to me or

☐ proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence

to be the person whose name is subscribed to the within instrument, and acknowledged that he/she executed the same for the purposes therein stated.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Notary Public Signature Tou Aroner
(Seal)

My Commission Expires: Dec 21 2026

Nancy C. Berge Owner, Madisson B LLC 123 N Centennial Way Mesa, AZ 85201

April 15, 2025

City Clerk's Office City of Mesa P.O. Box 1466 Mesa, AZ 85211-1466

Subject: Protest Against Proposed Annual Assessments for Mesa Town Center Improvement District No. 228

Dear Sir/Madam,

As the owner of Madisson B LLC and the property located at 123 N Centennial Way, Mesa, AZ 85201 (parcel numbers 138-31-039B & 138-31-039A), I am writing to formally protest the proposed annual assessments for the Mesa Town Center Improvement District No. 228.

The proposed assessments would place an undue financial burden on property owners within the "Town Center." Unlike entities such as Arizona State University (ASU), the City of Mesa, or large retail establishments, smaller property owners will not benefit equally from the enhanced public services, coordinated management, or the marketing and promotion efforts intended for the Mesa Town Center.

I respectfully request that the Mesa City Council reconsider these proposed assessments and explore more equitable alternatives that better account for the varying levels of benefit among property owners within the district.

Thank you for your time and consideration. I look forward to your response and remain available to discuss this matter further.

Sincerely,

Many E. Berge Nancy C. Berge

Owner, Madisson B LLC

P.O. Box 4008

Mesa, AZ 85211

nberge@db11properties.com

(480) 535-9633

State of Arizona

County of Maricopa

On this 15th day of April 2025, before me personally

appeared Nancy C. Berge, whose identity was proven

to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person who he or she

claims to be and acknowledged that he or she signed the above document.

WENDY M JELLIES otary Public, State of Arizona Maricopa County Commission # 622745

My Commission Expires

April 08, 2026

Notary Public

## CRE MOB LLC

RECEIVED

APR 2 1 2025

CITY OF MESA

Owner: CRE MOB, LLC

Property Address: 222 E Main St, Mesa AZ 85201

Parcel Number: 138-64-005

Date: April 12, 2025

Mesa City Clerk PO Box 1466 Mesa, AZ 85211-1466

Subject: Formal Objection to Enhanced Municipal Services for the Mesa Town Center

To Whom It May Concern,

I am writing to **formally object** to the proposed continuation and/or expansion of the Enhanced Municipal Services District (EMSD) for the Mesa Town Center.

As a property owner in the affected area, I have serious concerns regarding both the necessity and the effectiveness of these enhanced services, particularly in relation to the additional costs being imposed. The special assessments levied as part of this program place an undue financial burden on those of us operating within the district, and the return on investment in terms of tangible improvements remains unclear.

Specifically, I object to the following:

- Lack of transparency in how funds are allocated and which services are prioritized.
- Insufficient community input in the planning and implementation of these services.
- Questionable effectiveness of the enhanced services in improving business activity, public safety, or cleanliness in the district.
- **Disproportionate impact** on smaller businesses and property owners who may not benefit equally from the services provided,.
- Additional Property Tax Assessment We already pay a substantial amount of property tax.

I believe any public-private partnership or district-based enhancement must be accountable, equitable, and truly reflective of the stakeholders' needs and priorities.

Therefore, I respectfully request that the City of Mesa reconsider the current structure and funding mechanism of the Enhanced Municipal Services District.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Ken Goldmann

#### **CALIFORNIA ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

CIVIL CODE § 1189

☐ Guardian or Conservator

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document. State of California County of 65 before me, Jasmin MaNN personally appeared Name(s) of Signer(s) who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument. I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing JASMINE Y. GARCIA paragraph is true and correct. Comm. No. 2368096 NOTARY PUBLIC - CALIFORNIA LOS ANGELES COUNTY WITNESS my hand and official seal. My Comm. Exp. JULY 27, 2025 Signature Place Notary Seal and/or Stamp Above Signature of Notary Public **OPTIONAL** Completing this information can deter alteration of the document or fraudulent reattachment of this form to an unintended document. **Description of Attached Document** Title or Type of Document: \_\_\_\_\_ Document Date: \_\_Number of Pages: \_\_\_ Signer(s) Other Than Named Above: \_\_ Capacity(ies) Claimed by Signer(s) Signer's Name: \_ Signer's Name: \_ ☐ Corporate Officer – Title(s): \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ Corporate Officer – Title(s): \_\_\_\_ ☐ Partner — ☐ Limited ☐ General □ Partner – □ Limited □ General □ Individual □ Attorney in Fact □ Individual □ Attorney in Fact

☐ Guardian or Conservator ☐ Trustee

□ Other: \_

Signer is Representing:

Signer is Representing: \_

□ Trustee

□ Other: