Exhibit 2 - Summary of Historic Preservation Board Comments

No.	HPB - Text Amendment & Design Guidelines Comments	Where Addressed	Notes
1	Add previous HPB members to the cover page of the Design Guidelines	Design Guidelines	Completed
2	Ensure all typos are fixed in the Phil Isley House profile	Design Guidelines Appendix B	Completed
3	Reference Heritage Neighborhoods in the Design Guidelines	Design Guidelines Chapter 3	Completed
4	Prominently feature the eligibility criteria for Historic Districts and Historic Landmarks so it is clear designations can be based off cultural significance	Design Guidelines Chapter 3	Completed
5	Ensure consistency in regard to capitalizing the term Single-Family Homes in Chapter 3	Design Guidelines Chapter 3	Completed
6	Ensure consistency in regard to capitalizing architectural styles	Design Guidelines Chapter 4	Completed
7	Correct the numbering in Chapter 5 and Chapter 6 of the Design Guidelines	Design Guidelines Chapter 5 & 6	Completed
8	Define or explain the term "landscape patterns"	Design Guidelines Chapter 5	Completed
9	Remove duplicative language about additions in the Chapter 6 of the Design Guidelines	Design Guidelines Chapter 6	Completed
10	Public notice requirements referenced only one property and HDs can have more than one property - clarify language	Text Amendments Section 11-74-3(D)	Completed
11	Add a requirement that damaged historic resources be fixed	Text Amendments Section 11-74-3(F)	Completed
12	Emergency Repairs	Text Amendments Section 11-74-3(G)3	Completed
13	Reference the Design Guidelines	Text Amendments Section 11-74-4	Completed
14	Need "Maintenance of Contributing Resources" provision	Text Amendments Section 11-74-4(F)	Completed
15	Move the "Effect of Designation" provision of the Historic Sign section to a more prominent location toward the beginning of that section as the benefits are significant and may serve as an incentive	Text Amendments Section 11-74-5	Completed
16	Consider and Address Unrepresented Communities - and expand eligibility criteria for Historic Districts	Text Amendments Section 11-74-3(C)(2)(C)	Completed
		Design Guidelines Appendix D	
17	Profile the Midcentury Modern architectural style as it is common in Mesa	Design Guidelines Not Recommended	Staff does not recommend change. The Design Guidelines focus on the locally designated Historic Districts. A survey of this architectural style and buildings is needed. The survey will delay adoption of the Design Guidelines. Staff to review as part of the Historic Preservation Work Plan for future consideration.

Exhibit 3 - Summary of Historic Preservation Board Comments

No.	HPB - Text Amendment & Design Guidelines Comments	Where Addressed	Notes
18	Add a section on artificial turf and encourage its use because of water scarcity concerns	Design Guidelines Chapter 5	Staff does not recommend change. Artificial turf should not be used on front yards. In other areas of the lot, artificial turf can be used.
19	Include information about replacement materials (i.e. windows and doors) as original materials can be expensive or difficult to find	Design Guidelines Not Recommended	Staff does not recommend change. This is not a Design Guideline. Staff to review as part of the Historic Preservation Work Plan for future consideration.
20	Clarify review process timeline as the terminology related to "substantive review of application" is confusing	Text Amendment Not Recommended	Staff does not recommend change. The "substantive review" language matches State Statute 41-1075 which establishes compliance with "substantive review" time frames.
21	Revise 6-month stay to 1 year for demolition of historic property in proposed HD	Text Amendment Not Recommended	Staff does not recommend change. For clarity - six-months has been modified to 180-days throughout.
22	Add a specific penalty for demolishing historic building without COA	Text Amendment Not Recommended	Staff does not recommend change. Section 11-79-3 identifies penalties when provisions of the Mesa Zoning Code are violated. Changing penalties in the City is a larger discussion.
23	Add a maximum time frame for making necessary repairs to damaged historic resources to the Historic Preservation Ordinance	Text Amendment Not Recommended	Staff does not recommend change. Building Code requires progress once a permit is issued.
24	Consider changing to 8 business days - COA Review	Text Amendment Not Recommended	Staff does not recommend changes. Historic Preservation Team requires this time given current workload and resources.
25	Increase public notice requirement distance from 500' to 1,000' in 11-74-3(F)(3) and 11-74-5(G)(3)	Text Amendment Not Recommended	Staff does not recommend change. 500' as the required public notice distance consistent in the Mesa Zoning Ordinance.
26	Establish a process for reviewing properties for potential/discovered archeological significance	Text Amendment Not Recommended	Staff does not recommend change. A process is in place as it relates to archaeological resources.
27	Provide incentives for historic properties to place sign	Historic Preservation Work Plan	Staff to review as part of the Historic Preservation Work Plan for future consideration.
28	For the supplemental list, flag 50+ year old properties in GIS layer	Historic Preservation Work Plan	Staff to review as part of the Historic Preservation Work Plan for future consideration.
29	Provide a "carrot" for HP Designation	Historic Preservation Work Plan	Staff to review as part of the Historic Preservation Work Plan for future consideration.
30	10-year cycle for city review of eligible properties districts	Historic Preservation Work Plan	Staff to review as part of the Historic Preservation Work Plan for future consideration.