City Auditor

Presentation to the Audit, Finance, and Enterprise Committee March 5, 2020 Joseph Lisitano, Interim City Auditor

Reports Issued Jan-Mar 2020



Police Department – Jail Services Contract



HR/Employee Benefits – Claims Administration Contract



Follow-up Review – Agreements with NPSOs

Jail Services Contract

Report Date: 2/25/2020

An audit of contract compliance, performance expectations, and net savings.

What did we audit?

- Reviewed the contract and other sources to identify service requirements and performance expectations.
- Interviewed City and vendor personnel; and observed operations at both the CoreCivic Mesa PD holding facility.
- Reviewed MPD's contract monitoring process and tested transactions to verify accuracy of payments.
- Analyzed financial data to determine if expected net savings were realized.

1. Inspection procedures need improvement.

Recommendations:

- Develop more detailed procedures with clearly defined criteria.
- Implement a structured and consistent process for documenting, addressing, and tracking inspection issues.
- 2. Use of prisoner surveys has been inconsistent.

Recommendations:

- Ask all inmates to complete a survey.
- Document issues raised and follow up actions taken.

Response & Follow-up

- Management agrees with the recommendations and is implementing corrective action plans.
- All changes should be completed by 6/30/2020.
- We will remain engaged with the department throughout the process to help ensure successful implementation.
- We will perform a follow-up review in approximately 1 year.

Medical Claims Administration Contract

Report Date: 2/18/2020

An audit to determine if internal controls were in place to ensure accuracy, compliance, and performance expectations are being met. What did we audit?

- Reviewed the contract to identify the requirements.
- Interviewed personnel to assess process in place for monitoring the contract.
- Tested enrollment reports and invoices for accuracy.
- Reviewed vendor credit and performance results documentation.

Medical Claims Administration Contract

- 1. Processed claims are not tested for accuracy and compliance.
 - The City's standard audit clause was removed from the contract and replaced with limited audit provisions.
 - Strong reliance on employees to detect errors and report claims issues/denials to the Benefits office.
 - Consumer surveys indicate many employees do not understand their EOBs or do not look at their EOBs.
 - With \$60 million in medical costs annually, undetected errors may be significant.

Recommendations:

- Routinely test claims for accuracy and compliance and consider using data analytics to identify trends and anomalies.
- Procure an independent audit of claims to ensure accuracy and compliance with City's Plan.
- Revise audit provisions in contract to allow the City to appropriately and effectively audit the services provided under the contract.

2. Performance results are not verified.

Recommendations:

- Verify the accuracy of performance results reported by Cigna.
- If verification is not possible, implement compensating controls to provide assurance that performance expectations are being met.

Medical Claims Administration Contract

Response & Follow-up

- Management agrees with the recommendations and is implementing corrective action plans.
- Most changes should be completed by 9/30/2020.
- Contract related recommendation will be addressed during the next RFP process.
- We will remain engaged with the department throughout the process to help ensure successful implementation.
- We will perform a follow-up review in approximately 1 year.

Agreements with Nonprofit Support Organizations

Report Date: February 24, 2020

A follow-up review to ensure action plans were successfully implemented.

Recommendations from the December 2018 audit report:

- 19 recommendations in 3 categories:
 - Written Agreements
 - Staff Activities
 - Grants

Agreements with Nonprofit Support Organizations

• 18 of 19 recommendations have been successfully implemented.

✓ Implemented

Written Agreements (Summary of 8 recs.)

Written agreements should:

Agreements with Nonprofit Support Organizations

- Clearly define relationships, roles and responsibilities, including:
 - Nature and limitations of relationship separate entities with defined roles
 - ✓ Nature and amount of City staff support.
- Prohibit City staff from serving as officers or voting board members.
- Specify manner and frequency of reporting and reimbursements.

ImplementedIn Progress

Staff Activities (Summary of 8 recs.)

Agreements with Nonprofit Support Organizations

- Comply with all City policies, even if activity is funded by NPSO. This includes travel and any other purchases of goods or services.
- ✓ No direct use of NPSO funds by City staff:
 - No NPSO credit cards issued to employees.
 - ✓ No funds paid directly to employee by NPSO.
- Process cash/check/cc transactions using City systems and deposit funds into City accounts.
- Pass through NPSO revenue (i.e. memberships) per agreedupon schedule.
- Process reimbursements on schedule.

Grants (Summary of 3 recs.)

Agreements with Nonprofit Support Organizations

- Maintain grant-related information and documents in a central repository, accessible to those who need it.
- Carefully review grant award documents to ensure grantee is accurately identified (i.e. awarded to City or NPSO).
- Develop Arts and Culture Department policies and procedures for grant administration.

