

City Council Report

Date: October 15, 2018

To: City Council

Through: Michael Kennington, Chief Financial Officer

From: Edward Quedens, Business Services Director

Matt Bauer, Procurement Administrator

Subject: Two-Year Term Contract with Electric Line Clearance Trimming for Mesa's

Electric Service Area Quadrants for the Energy Resources Department

(Citywide)

Recommendation

Council is requested to approve the award as recommended.

The Energy Resources Department and Purchasing recommend awarding the contract to the lowest, responsive and responsible bidder, West Coast Arborists, Inc., at \$237,651 for the two-year contract term.

Background / Discussion

This contract will provide cycle/zone tree trimming services over the next two years to keep trees and vegetation clear of high voltage electric transmission, primary, and secondary distribution lines and secondary service drops. These services are conducted in order to maintain safety and minimize and prevent electric service outages for customers in all four quadrants (NE, SE, SW and NW) of Electric Utility's Electric Service Area.

Purchase Information

Action: Award

Procurement Type: Request for Bids

Contract Number: 2018250

Local Consideration: Policy did not apply to this procurement method

Protests Received: None

Initial Contract Term: Two years

Possible Renewals: Up to a two-year period subject to Administrative review and approval Funding Source: Energy Resources Department operating budget through the Enterprise

Fund – Electric Utility Sub Fund

August 2, 2018 Responses

West Coast Arborists, Inc. Option 1 - \$67,180.00

Glendale, AZ Option 2 - \$125,160.00

Option 3 - \$163,613.00

Option 4 - \$237,651.00 (Recommended)

Tree Pros, LLC Option 1 - \$66,000.00

*Option 2 - \$105,600.00 Phoenix, AZ Option 3 - \$169,200.00

Option 4 - \$252,000.00

Asplundh Tree Experts **Option 1 - \$55,621.00 (Non-Responsive) Peoria, AZ **Option 2 - \$99,491.00 (Non-Responsive)

> Option 3 - \$187,781.00 Option 4 - \$275,882.00

*While Tree Pro would have been the lowest bidder under Option 2, for a one-year service covering only 2 quadrants, the evaluation indicated that award to WCA under Option 4, providing 2 years of service, covering all four quadrants, was the next lowest cost proposal and most cost-effective over the long-term. Award to WCA under Option 4 eliminates repeating of the bid process in one year and limits overhead, administrative and other expenses associated with repetition of the bid process on shorter intervals.

^{**}Asplundh's prices under Options 1 or 2 were the lowest, however their proposals included exceptions rendering portions of their bid non-responsive.